I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed via EFS-Web with the United States Patent and Trademark Office July 17, 2006

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By:____/Nina L. McNeill/ Nina L. McNeill

PATENT Attorney Docket No.: 20174C-004940US Client Ref. No.: CIT 3484-CIP-CIP-CIP

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

CARL L. HANSEN et al.

Application No.: 10/637,847

Filed: August 7, 2003

For: MICROFLUIDIC PROTEIN CRYSTALLOGRAPHY

Customer No.: 20350

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

following.

Examiner: Kunemund, Robert M.

Confirmation No. 3349

Technology Center/Art Unit: 1722

RESPONSE

In response to the Office Action mailed April 17, 2006, please enter the

Claims 21 – 34 have been examined and provisionally stand rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over Claims 12 – 16 of U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 10/117,978, over Claims 49 - 66 of U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 11/133,805, and

¹ It is believed that the indication in the Office Action that the rejection is over U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 10/117,976 is a typographical error.

Appl. No. 10/637,847 Amdt. dated July 17, 2006 Reply to Office Action of April 17, 2006

over Claims 1 – 9 of U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 11/056,451. A terminal disclaimer is being filed concurrently herewith to disclaim any term that would extend beyond the term of U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 10/117,978, which has an earlier filing date than the present application.

The only outstanding rejections are thus believed to be provisional obviousnesstype double-patenting rejections over U.S. Appl. Nos. 11/133,805 and 11/056,451, both of which
have later filing dates than the present application. In accordance with MPEP 804.I.B.1,
withdrawal of those provisional rejections is accordingly requested ("If a 'provisional'
nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting ... rejection is the only rejection remaining in
the earlier filed of the two pending applications, while the later-filed application is rejectable on
other grounds, the examiner should withdraw that rejection and permit the earlier-filed
application to issue as a patent without a terminal disclaimer").

Appl. No. 10/637,847 Amdt. dated July 17, 2006 Reply to Office Action of April 17, 2006

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 303-571-4000.

Respectfully submitted,

/Patrick M. Boucher/

Patrick M. Boucher Reg. No. 44,037

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834 Tel: 303-571-4000 Fax: 415-576-0300 PMB:pmb 60823476v1